

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,697	09/12/2003	Kevin Andrew Chamness	242662US6YA	7662
22850	7590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WEST, JEFFREY R	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2857	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/660,697	CHAMNESS, KEVIN ANDREW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey R. West	2857		

	Jeffrey R. West	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further co		-	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	12 50.011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· · ·	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42,47 and 48</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. 1-42,47 and 40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 43-46,49 and 50.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	Hoff
		MARC S. H SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	MI ENGLISHMEN
		(FOLH 10 TO 2	

| EPU'40FOG

Continuation of 3:

The proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 25, 33, and 41 specifying that the centering coefficients are adjusted "at the time of each observation of the additional data" and the proposed amendment to independent claim 19 specifying the adaptive centering coefficient combining values "to produce at each observation of data an updated adaptive centering coefficient", are considered to be new issues that would require additional search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11:

While the proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 19, 25, 33, and 41 will not be entered because they require additional search and/or consideration, the request for reconsideration also does not place the application in condition for allowance because the arguments are drawn to the proposed amendments to claims 1, 19, 25, 33, and 41, and a corresponding amendment has not been made to independent claims 47 and 48.